



# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/617,545

07/14/00

ARIYASU

1101444

HM12/1109

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 524 NINTH STREET, NW

SUITE 300

WASHINGTON DC 20001-5303

NOV 1 5 2001

BROWDY & NEIMARK

EXAMINER

ARTYASU=1A

KALEMAN, C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1646

DATE MAILED:

11/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

DOCKETED

Mend = 9FE 2002

P	,	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)
		09/617,5	45	ARIYASU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit
		Claire M.	Kaufman	1646
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHO THE I Exter after If the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ev on. , a reply within the stat period will apply and w	ent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication.
- Any r	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>10 September</u>	<u>2001</u> .	
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-10,15 and 16</u>	is/are withdrawi	n from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
, 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			·
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and	d/or election red	quirement.	
Application	on Papers			
9)🖾 7	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠ 7	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2000</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted	or b)⊡ objected to by th	ne Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🏻	he proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ a	pproved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this O	ffice action.	
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.		
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have bee	n received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have bee	n received in Applicati	ion No. <u>09/063,778</u> .
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International certification for a stacked detailed Office action for a stacked detailed Detail	al Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	-
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for don		· ·	
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	-		
ttachment	(s)	-		
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tra		ice Action Summa	rv	Part of Paper No. 8

Art Unit: 1646

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 9/10/01 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination of plural groups would not involve a serious burden because there is not a serious burden to examine a plurality of groups and one cannot completely search Group I without searching Group II. This is not found persuasive because a search for the full-length encoding nucleic acid is not required for a search of the invention of Group II. The recombinant method producing the encoded protein Group I (*i.e.*, the antigen) does not require searching for anticipatory art for the protein itself, which is not true for the antibody search. Additionally, as previously stated, the different classifications support the need for different searches for each group. Further, the fact that the antibody of Group II may bind to proteins other than human Desert hedgehog, *e.g.*, Sonic hedgehog--see claim 9, means that the search for the antibody requires searches of proteins and/or encoding nucleic acids in addition to human Desert hedgehog, which searches are not required for the DNA claimed. For these reasons, the inventions are distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Specification

Applicants are required to use the heading "Brief Description of the Drawings" instead of "Brief Description of the Accompanying Drawings" at page 4. See MPEP 608.01(f).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 4, fourth line in the paragraph beginning "In FIG. 1,", "coli;t he" should be ""coli; the".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1646

## Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2 of claim 5, "ore" should be -more--; in the last line of claim 14, "Desert" should not be capitalized to be consistent with the rest of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Since the claimed DNA is naturally occurring and is not claimed as purified and/or isolated, the claims do not show the hand of man involved in the invention and, therefore, are unpatentable. See MPEP \$706.03(a) and 2105.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 11, 12, 14 and claims 2-7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting an essential step, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted step is: the expression of the DNA. "Allowing" expression does not mean that expression occurs, only that it can occur. "The generated hedgehog protein" is not required to be the one encoded by the DNA which encodes a desert hedgehog protein of human origin. It can instead be an endogenous hedgehog protein, depending on the cell type used.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim 12 is indefinite because it is unclear what a "transformant" is. The specification describes host cells transfected with DNA but is not clear that a "transformant" is limited to a host cell comprising heterologous DNA introduced by transfection or transformation. The claim could be clarified by using phrasing such as "wherein said DNA is expressed through culturing of a host cell transformed by introduction of said DNA which encodes a desert hedgehog protein of human origin".

Claim 12 is also indefinite because 1) it is not clear that the DNA of the last line encodes a human Desert hedgehog protein. If it does not, then there is a gap in steps between making the HuDhh DNA capable of being expressed and getting expression when the DNA expressed encodes a different hedgehog protein. 2) It is not clear which DNA "the DNA" in line 1 is. That is, it is not clear it is said DNA that encodes a desert hedgehog protein of human origin.

Claims 1, 11 and 14 are indefinite because it is unclear what distinguishes a desert hedgehog (Dhh) protein from other proteins, particularly other hedgehog proteins. As a result, the metes and bounds of the claims is not clear. There are no characteristics by which one could distinguished the DNA encoding a Dhh protein of the instant invention from other encoding DNAs. Note that claims 2-4 require only that it contain a part of a specified amino acid sequence. Since a part can be one nucleotide, almost all DNAs comprise at least one nucleotide in common with the sequences listed. For these reasons, the metes and bounds of the claims cannot be determined.

Claim 5 is indefinite because since there is no specified DNA sequence for the DNA of claim 1, one cannot know if the DNA of claim5 is a different degenerate sequence.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a DNA comprising SEQ ID NO:5 or 6 or encodes a

Art Unit: 1646

protein comprising the sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 or 3 (i.e., a degenerate DNA), does not reasonably provide enablement for a DNA that is not one of the above but which must still encode a hedgehog or desert hedgehog protein. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification teaches DNA encoding a mature and full-length form (SEQ ID NO: 5 and 6, respectively) of the human desert hedgehog protein of SEQ ID NO:2 and 3. Also taught are partial encoding DNA sequences (SEQ ID NO:4, 8-10) and primers used for PCR amplification, with SEQ ID NO:4 encoding a fragment of the mature protein and SEQ ID NO:8-10 encoding part of a HuDhh precursor (p. 33, middle). The mature mouse Dhh sequence is 374 amino acids long and over 97% identical to SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant application (Ingham et al., US Patent 5,789,543, see attached "COMPARISON"). Human Dhh of SEQ ID NO:2 is 374 amino acids long. SEQ ID NO:1, encoded by SEQ ID NO:4, is 176 amino acids long. The claims have no functional limitation to allow one skilled in the art to identify the claimed subject matter by anything but sequence relationship or name, which gives great breadth to the claims.

There is no disclosure of a function of the claimed HuDhh. There is no guidance for making a DNA without knowing what it looks like or what it does. The specification does not provide limitations on what portion(s) of a DNA must be the same as SEQ ID NO:5 or what minimum shared sequence identity must be present for DNA to be considered an HuDhhencoding polynucleotide. Note that the language "contains a part or the whole of ... SEQ ID NO:5" (claim 3), does not provide a structural limitation since a part can be one nucleotide. Because the hh family has not only Dhh but also Shh and Ihh, which share sequence identity and have some shared functions like binding patched receptor, it is not straight forward to say that a protein is a Dhh if there is no structure to distinguish it from a Shh or Ihh protein and a function specific to Dhh and not the other proteins of the hh family. If the DNA cannot be used as a probe which will specifically identify other Dhh-encoding polynucleotides with the exclusion of other hh-encoding polynucleotides and the DNA cannot be used to encode a protein which the skilled artisan could use without undue experimentation, then one would not know how to use the claimed DNA. For these reasons, it would require undue experimentation to practice the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1646

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Drummond et al. (GenBank Accession No. U59748, also known as BC, cited by Applicants).

Drummond (GenBank Accession No. U59748) teaches part of the nucleic acid encoding human Dhh and the corresponding deduced amino acid sequence. The sequence isolated was mRNA, but that shown is DNA. This nucleic acid encodes a human Dhh protein, though not the full-length or mature form of SEQ ID NO:2 or 3 taught in the instant application. Note that the neither the claims nor the specification have a limitation that the Dhh protein of the instant specification must encode a full-length or functional protein.

#### Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Epstein et al. (C, US Patent 5,759,811) describe a single mutation in human Shh associated with tumorogenicity, the existence of a partial nucleic acid sequence of human Dhh and the desire to test hedgehog proteins besides Shh for the ability to promote tumor growth. Ingham et al. (B, US Patent 5,844,079) teach mouse Desert hedgehog (Dhh, SEQ ID NO:9), mouse and human Indian hedgehog (Ihh, SEQ ID NO: 14 and 10, respectively), and mouse, chicken, human and zebrafish Sonic hedgehog (Shh, SEQ ID NO: 11, 8, 13 and 12, respectively. Ingham et al. (US Patent 5,789,543) teaches mouse Dhh (SEQ ID NO:4). No full-length DNA encoding a Dhh protein of human origin appears in the prior art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Claire M. Kaufman, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5791. Dr. Kaufman can generally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30AM to 12:30PM.

Art Unit: 1646

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office. **Please** advise the examiner at the telephone number above before facsimile transmission.

Claire M. Kaufman, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1646

November 7, 2001

## COMPARISON

```
Patent No. 5789543: COMPARISON TO SEQ ID NO:2
    GENERAL INFORMATION:
     APPLICANT: Ingham, Phillip W.
     APPLICANT: McMahon, Andrew P.
     APPLICANT: Tabin, Clifford J.
     TITLE OF INVENTION: Vertebrate Embryonic Pattern-Inducing
     TITLE OF INVENTION: Proteins and Uses Related Thereto
     CURRENT APPLICATION DATA:
      APPLICATION NUMBER: US/08/176,427B
      FILING DATE: 30-DEC-1993
   INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:
     SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
      LENGTH: 396 amino acids
      TYPE: amino acid
      TOPOLOGY: linear
    MOLECULE TYPE: protein
  Query Match
                      97.6%;
                            Score 1761; DB 2;
                                             Length 396:
  Best Local Similarity
                      97.3%;
                           Pred. No. 1.9e-191;
  Matches 364; Conservative
                           9; Mismatches
                                              Indels
       1 CGPGRGPVGRRRYARKQLVPLLYKQFVPGVPERTLGASGPAEGRVARGSERFRDLVPNYN 60
Qу
        174414414444
Db
      23 CGPGRGPVGRRRYVRKQLVPLLYKQFVPSMPERTLGASGPAEGRVTRGSERFRDLVPNYN 82
      61 PDIIFKDEENSGADRLMTERCKERVNALAIAVMNMWPGVRLRVTEGWDEDGHHAQDSLHY 120
Qу
        83 PDIIFKDEENSGADRLMTERCKERVNALAIAVMNMWPGVRLRVTEGWDEDGHHAQDSLHY 142
Db
     121 EGRALDITTSDRDRNKYGLLARLAVEAGFDWVYYESRNHIHVSVKADNSLAVRAGGCFPG 180
Qу
        143 EGRALDITTSDRDRNKYGLLARLAVEAGFDWVYYESRNHIHVSVKADNSLAVRAGGCFPG 202
Db
     181 NATVRLWSGERKGLRELHRGDWVLTADASGRVVPTPVLLFLDRDLQRRASFVAVETEWPP 240
Qу
        203 NATVRLRSGERKGLRELHRGDWVLAADAAGRVVPTPVLLFLDRDLQRRASFVAVETERPP 262
Db
     241 RKLLLTPWHLVFAARGPAPAPGDFAPVFARRLRAGDSVLAPGGDALRPARVARVAREEAV 300 .
Qy
        263 RKLLLTPWHLVFAARGPAPAPGDFAPVFARRLRAGDSVLAPGGDALQPARVARVAREEAV 322
Db
    301 GVFAPLTAHGTLLVNDVLASCYAVLESHQWAHRAFAPLRLLHALGALLPGGAVQPTGMHW 360
Qy
        323 GVFAPLTAHGTLLVNDVLASCYAVLESHQWAHRAFAPLRLLHALGALLPGGAVQPTGMHW 382
Db
Qу
    361 YSRLLYRLAEELLG 374
        1111111111111111
```

